



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,406	01/31/2001	Phuoc M. Thai	68529 (7114) 8058		
22242	7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		406	THAI, PHUOC M.			
			Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this com	HUY T N	· ·	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply		io devel direct with the d	on coponacino dadress ==			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			·			
1) Responsive to communication(s	filed on					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/01,07/02/02. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2, 5-8,10-15 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi et al (5,999,691) in view of Haraguchi et al (5,450,139).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Takagi discloses an RF passthrough system (Figure 1) for a digital network recorder comprising:

a digital video decoder (4) of the digital network recorder that continuously records an input television signal to a memory (30) and continuously decodes and plays the input television signal having been recorded (column 8, lines 5-36 column 10, lines 1-22, column 20, lines 18-22); and

means selectively outputting the input television signal television or the output video signal from the digital video decoder, wherein the output video signal comprises the input television signal having been previously recorded and decoded.

Takagi fails to a control means for monitoring the output signal and outputting the input television signal in the event that there is no output video signal from the recorder. Haraguchi teaches an apparatus having means for storing the video in a memory and a control means for selecting a video signal of other video path in the event that there is no output video signal from the memory (column 2, lines 33-

42) . It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Takagi with Haraguchi by providing Takagi with a control means as taught by Haraguchi thereby enhancing the capacity of the Takagi apparatus to provide the input video signals to the monitor in the event that there is no output signal from the memory supplied to the decoder .

Method claim 8 corresponds to apparatus claims 1. Therefore method claim 8 is rejected by the same reasons as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Takagi further teaches input television signal comprises a broadcast analog television signal received from a tuner (column 8).

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Takagi as modified with Haraguchi further teaches the outputting step comprises outputting the input television signal to television, the event there an operating system failure the digital network recorder such that the digital network recorder is unable produce the output video signal (See Takagi, Fig. 1, column 8 lines 28-36, Haraguchi (column 2, lines 33-42).

Regarding claim 6, Takagi as modified with Haraguchi teaches receiving the input television signal into the digital network recorder (See Takagi, column 8, Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 7 and 11, Takagi as modified with Haraguchi further teaches outputting the output video signal to the television (10), in the event the output video signal is output from the digital video decoder (see Takagi Fig. 1, column 8, Haraguchi (column 2, lines 33-42).

Regarding claim 13, Takagi further teaches a digital video encoder (2) coupled to the memory for receiving the input television signal and encoding the input television signal as the digital data (Fig. 1, column 36, lines 30-35)).

Regarding claim 14, Takagi inherently teaches using an A/D converter for converting the analog signal from tuner to digital signal prior to encoding since it is required to digital compressing.

Regarding claim 15 inherently Takagi teaches a D/A converter for converting the decoded signal into an analog signal since it is required for displaying the signal on a television.

Regarding claim 20 , Takagi teaches the memory is a hard disk (column 8, lines 54-57).

Regarding claim 21, Takagi further teaches a media switch (selecting channel, recording and playing the signal on and from the memory).

3. Claims 3 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi et al (5,999,691) in view of Haraguchi et al (5,450,139) as applied to claim 1 and 12 above, further in view of Sasaki et al (6,226,447).

Regarding claims 3 and 19, Takagi fails to specifically teach that the decoder is a MPEG decoder. However, it is noted that using a MPEG decoder for decoding a MPEG encoded video signal is well known in the art as taught by Sasaki (Fig. 1, column 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Takagi with Sasaki by using a MPEG decoder as an alternative to the expansion

Art Unit: 2616

means of Takagi in the event that the input video signal is MPEG encoded by a MPG encoder.

4. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi et al (5,999,691) in view of Haraguchi et al (5,450,139) as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, further in view of Chimoto (5,83,383).

Chimoto teaches an apparatus having means for outputting signal from a tuner when the system is in a booting state (column 39 lines 1-20, Fig. 34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Takagi with Chimoto by using a control means as taught by Chimoto with the apparatus of Takagi for outputting the input signal when the system is an a booting state thereby enhancing the function of the apparatus of Takagi for providing the input video signals to the monitor in the event that there is no output signal from the memory supplied to the decoder and monitor.

5. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi et al (5,999,691) in view of Haraguchi et al (5,450,139) as applied to claim 12 above, further in view of Johnson et al (4,679,085).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Takagi fails to specifically teach that the switch is an embedded chip comprising a programmable read only memory chip.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,406 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

Johnson teaches an apparatus having a switch comprising a programmable read only memory chip (column 8, lines 8-20), . It would have been obvious to 0ne of ordinary skill in the art to modify Takagi with Johnson by using a programmable switch as taught by Johnson with the apparatus of Takagi for switching the input and out signals in accordance with a preset condition therefore accurately selecting the signal to be forwarded to the television .

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi et al (5,999,691) in view of Haraguchi et al (5,450,139) as applied to claim 12 above, further in view of Thomas et al (4,103,847).

Regarding claim 18, Takagi fails to specifically teach that the switch comprises a field effect transistor for passing television signals. However, it is noted that using a field effect transistor as a switch for passing a signal is well in the art and textbook . in the art and textbook . For example , Thomas teaches an apparatus using a filed effect transistor as a switch for passing a video signal (column 6, lines 25-40) .

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Takagi with Thomas by using a field effect transistor as an alternative to the switch of Takagi for passing the television signals.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/773,406

Art Unit: 2616

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoneda and O'Connor teach using a memory for storing the

television signals.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (571) 272-7375. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N

HUY NOUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7